UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/629,508	07/29/2003	Jordan Plofsky	ALTRP082	6910
51501 BEYER WEAV	7590 10/22/200 /ER LLP	7	EXAM	IINER
ATTN: ALTER	LA.		IQBAL, 1	NADEEM
P.O. BOX 7025 OAKLAND, C.			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
,			2114	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/22/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			<i> </i>
<u>`</u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/629,508	PLOFSKY, JORDAN
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Nadeem Iqbal	2114
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SH WHI - Exte afte - If N - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Domensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute or reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON a, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 A	<u>ugust 2007</u> .	
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.	
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E). 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposit	tion of Claims		
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.	
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
	Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are rejected.		
7) 🗆	•		·
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
Applicat	tion Papers		
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	,	
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a))		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	received in this National Stage
* (application from the International Bureau	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received
	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not	Nadyl-
Attachmer	nt(s)	•	V NADEE M IQBAL
_	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	PRIMARY EXAMINER Summary (PTO-413)
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of I	nformal Patent Application

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Application/Control Number: 10/629,508

Art Unit: 2114

Response to Amendment

This office action is in response to an amendment filed on August 14, 2007. The Examiner applies the same rejection as applied in the detailed office action mailed on April 11, 2007 as the last office action. The Applicant should refer to the last office action for details.

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed Aug. 14, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The drawings filed on Nov 17, 2003 are the only drawings found by the Examiner and are acceptable. No other formal drawings are found in record. Applicant must indicate what date is other set of formal drawings is filed.
- 2. With reference to Claims Applicant argues that claim 1 specifically requires a programmable logic device that comprises a hardcoded microprocessor in communication with programmable logic. Examiner contends that Jacobson clearly shows in Fig. 2, a Diagnostic microcontroller within the same packaging as the programmable logic device and is called an electronic device. Jacobson further teaches (col. 3, lines 35-45) the diagnostic microcontroller 105 then initiates diagnostic tests on the programmable logic device 110. He also teaches (col. 3, lines 60-62) that the electronic device is a self-contained product. Therefore placing the microcontroller within the same packaging with the programmable logic device in the form of an integrated circuit is within the level of skill of a person of ordinary skill in the art if so desired. The technology today allows multiple processors and programmable logic devices within the same packaging as is well known in the art. Examiner applies the same response to the Applicants arguments pertains to claims 7, 13, & 20. Examiner has reviewed Jacobson's, col. 15, lines 41-47, as pointed out by the Applicant, Jacobson does not teach any desirability to

Application/Control Number: 10/629,508

Art Unit: 2114

locate the microcontroller outside of the electronic device as allege by the Applicant. In col. 15, lines 49-51, Jacobson teaches reconfiguring a programmable logic device within the electronic device to act as diagnostic microcontroller, thereby reasserting the desirability to include the microcontroller within the same package as the programmable logic device.

Conclusion

3. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nadeem Iqbal whose telephone number is (571)-272-3659. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-5:30) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Scott Baderman can be reached on (571)-272-3644. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/629,508

Art Unit: 2114

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Nadeem Idbal Primary Examiner Art Unit 2114

NI